Application No. Applicant(s) 09/942,336 ASHIZAWA ET AL.

interview Summary		
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Teresa E Strzelecka	1637
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Teresa E Strzelecka</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Melissa Sistrunk</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: July 14 and 17, 20.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	!)☐ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-17</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	//A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>During the interview on Jecontained allowable subject matter</u> , as indicated by Examination art it was determined that some of the claims were not some claims were indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second processing the second of the claims.	uly 14, 2003, Examiner indicater Hashemi. However, upon to tree of the prior art. It was ful paragraph.	ted that the pending claims further consideration of the other determined that that
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims rould render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse signal.	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SINTERVIEW SUMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Teresa Strelection Examiner's signature, if required